

9 | USING FORECITE TO BACKSTOP YOUR CASE LAW RESEARCH

Forecite, a new addition to our suite of smarter legal research tools, is the perfect backstop to your case law research. When you perform a keyword search on Fastcase, Forecite goes the extra mile and identifies seminal cases that can easily be missed by ordinary keyword searches.

Forecite enhances your ordinary search results by carefully and comprehensively analyzing the citation structure of each decision and identifying decisions. When Forecite finds decisions that are **frequently cited by other decisions in your search results** but **do not contain all of your search terms**, it will notify you at the top of the results page.

The best part is that Forecite is completely automatic—you can perform keyword searches as you normally would and Forecite will automatically prompt you when additional results are available for your search.

- Start by performing a keyword search the way you normally would.
- On the results screen, a salmon-colored banner will notify you if Forecite has identified additional results based on your search terms.
- Clicking the arrow at the top right side will expand the results and clicking the case name will open the full text of the case (the same as for your ordinary search results).

fastcase® Fastcase Home | Contact Info | Help and Support | Logout

Start Search Results Document Print My Library Options Help

Results Interactive Timeline

Jurisdiction: All Jurisdictions 1 to 20 of 567 results Print List of Results Print/Save Documents in Queue Authority Check

Relevance Case Decision Date Entire Database

Forecite (beta): Fastcase has identified 2 additional decisions that may be relevant to your research topic, but do not contain one or more of your search terms. [View Results](#)

Swann v. Board of Education, 402 U.S. 1, 91 S.Ct. 1267, 28 L.Ed.2d 554 (1971) April 20, 1971 65 927

Brown v. Board of Education, 347 U.S. 483, 74 S.Ct. 686, 98 L.Ed. 873 (1954) May 17, 1954 58 2,004

[Expand all results](#) [What's Forecite?](#) Patent Pending

Relevance	Case Name	Date	Citation Count
100%	1. People Who Care v. Rockford Bd. of Educ., 851 F.Supp. 905 (N.D. Ill., 1994)	February 18, 1994	6
94%	2. Vaughns v. Board of Educ. of Prince George's County, 574 F.Supp. 1280 (D. Md., 1983)	June 20, 1983	12
71%	3. United States v. Yonkers Bd. of Educ., 624 F.Supp. 1276 (S.D.N.Y., 1985)	November 20, 1985	35
68%	4. Flax v. Potts, 680 F.Supp. 820 (N.D. Tex., 1988)	February 19, 1988	3
63%	5. Liddell v. Bd. of Ed., City of St. Louis, Etc., 469 F.Supp. 1304 (E.D. Mo., 1979)	April 12, 1979	1

- What's more, you can add Forecite results to your print queue and generate an Authority Check report for each decision.