

Fastcase Legal Research


The *Smarter* Solution for Legal Research

Keyword Search Made Easy | 2011


#fcwebinar




Full Text Search	Index
Words	Concepts




Keyword	Subject
Google	Keycite



Synonymy	Ambiguity
Two words that mean the same thing.	Words that mean more than one thing.



Plurals	Order of Operations
Potato Potatoes	→



What Am I Searching?


Fastcase is a **Primary Law** Database.

Case Law	Federal and state case law back to 1950**
Statutes	U.S. Code & official state statutes for D.C. plus 44 states
Other Regulations	Links to state and federal administrative regulations and codes (some are searchable)
Court Rules	Links to state and federal courts rules (some are searchable)
Constitutions	Links to state and federal constitutions (a few are searchable)


www.fastcase.com

What is Fastcase ?

Go To Scope of Coverage




Select Document Type



Concept → Keyword Search




Getting Started | Do Your Homework



Question:
Constitutionality of searching garbage left at the curb?



Getting Started | Do Your Homework




Legal Principles

- ✓ Fourth Amendment
- ✓ Search and seizure
- ✓ Expectation of privacy

Additional Search Terms


- ✓ Garbage, trash
- ✓ Curb, curbside



OPERATOR	EXAMPLE	DESCRIPTION
AND, &	Copyright AND Preemption	Results must contain <i>both</i> the words "Copyright" and "Preemption"
OR	Landlord OR Lessor	Results must contain <i>either</i> the word "Landlord" or the word "Lessor." (They may contain both words).
NOT	Vehicle NOT Car	Results <i>must contain</i> the word "Vehicle" but <i>must not contain</i> the word "Car."
w/3, /3	Capital w/3 Punishment	Results must contain the word "Capital" within 3 words of the word "Punishment". Any integer between 2 and 50 can be used with this operator.
*	Litig*	Results must contain some variation of the stem "Litig" such as Litigation, Litigated, Litigator, etc.
" "	"Felony Murder"	Results must contain the precise phrase "Felony Murder."
()	(Security OR Pledge) AND Assignment	Parentheses are used to define the order of operations when you use multiple Boolean operators. This example search will yield results that contain the word "Assignment" as well as either the word "Security" or the word "Pledge".

AND Operator

Capital AND Punishment → Cases containing both words



ResultsInteractionsTimeline

Jurisdiction:All Jurisdictions

1 to 20 of 1,000 results

Print List of Results

Print/Save Documents in Queue

Authority Check

Forecliche (beta): Fatcase has identified 3 additional decisions that contain one or more of your search terms.

100%

1. *People v. Bell*, 303 N.E.2d 516, 15 Ill.App.3d 144 (Ill. App. 1 Dist., 1973)

A written judgment order was entered in which the court merely found defendant guilty as charged. However, as the remarks displayed from the bench the court stated that a teacher is a substitute parent and that a substitute parent is responsible for discipline, but not for discipline. The court further stated that there was no indication the defendant lost his temper and the discipline was rendered in an orderly manner. The court concluded that defendant's administrative punishment more severe even...

92%

2. *P.S. v. In re*, 661 N.E.2d 329, 169 Ill.2d 260 (Ill., 1996)

January 18, 1996

13

16

82%

3. *People v. Lewis*, 848 N.E.2d 260, 267 Ill. App.3d 130, 293 Ill. Dec. 459 (Ill. App., 2005)

April 12, 2005

1

16

78%

4. *Bello v. Illinois Dept. of Revenue*, 152 Ill.2d 504, 495 N.E.2d 528 (Ill., 1992)

October 22, 1992

12

28

74%

5. *People v. Johnson*, 776 N.E.2d 228, 332 Ill. App.3d 935, 267 Ill. Dec. 101 (Ill. App., 2001)

October 23, 2001

2

3

73%

6. *P. v. In Interest of*, 692 N.E.2d 336, 294 Ill.App.3d 991 (Ill. App. 1 Dist., 1998)

February 26, 1998

0

5

72%

7. *People v. Dvorak*, 276 Ill.App.3d 544, 659 N.E.2d 869 (Ill.App. 2 Dist., 1995)

December 13, 1995

12

19

Advanced Caselaw Search

Search Type: Keyword Search (Boolean)Natural LanguageCitation Lookup

capital and punishment

Show Search Tips

Search

Select Jurisdictions

Recently Searched Jurisdictions

Start Date: January 2001

End Date: April 2011

Authority Check

Show Number of Citations in Search Results

Show Number of Citations in Entire Database

Results

Maximum results: 1,000

Results per page: 25

Sort by: Relevance

© 1999-2011 Fatcase, Inc.

[Privacy Policy](#)

[Terms of Service](#)

[Scope of Coverage](#)

ResultsInteractionsTimeline

Jurisdiction:All Jurisdictions

1 to 20 of 532 results

Print List of Results

Print/Save Documents in Queue

Authority Check

Forecliche (beta): Fatcase has identified 3 additional decisions that may be relevant to your search topic, but do not contain one or more of your search terms.

100%

1. *People v. Holman*, 469 N.E.2d 119, 103 Ill.2d 123 (Ill., 1984)

We find the other passages that we have quoted support defendant's position that the legislature's intent was to provide a remedy for the defendant's reaction, which left under evidence to his conviction the addition, his reference to his small boy may have served...

99%

2. *People v. Morris*, 848 N.E.2d 1000, 219 Ill.2d 373 (Ill., 2006)

April 20, 2006

0

1

93%

3. *People v. Shaw*, 713 N.E.2d 1161, 186 Ill.2d 391, 239 Ill. Dec. 311 (Ill., 1998)

October 22, 1998

15

23

59%

4. *People v. Lewis*, 157 Ill.2d 138, 623 N.E.2d 312 (Ill., 1993)

October 21, 1993

4

65

58%

5. *People v. Bell*, 303 N.E.2d 516, 15 Ill.App.3d 144 (Ill. App. 1 Dist., 1973)

November 10, 1973

68

162

59%

6. *People v. Crow*, 132 Ill.2d 265, 532 N.E.2d 1167 (Ill., 1988)

February 11, 1988

18

50

55%

7. *People v. Holman*, 469 N.E.2d 119, 103 Ill.2d 123 (Ill., 1984)


September 23, 1984

1

21

AND Operator

Capital AND Punishment → 523 Cases



“Quotes” Operator

“Felony Murder” → Cases containing the phrase “felony murder”

Not in results:
murder resulting from felony
convict of felony and murder

In results:
charged with felony murder

Advanced Caselaw Search

Search Type: ☒ Keyword Search (Boolean) ☐ Natural Language ☐ Citation Lookup

More and smaller

☒ Show Search Tips

Example (click to view a search)

Keywords	Result
AND, &	cases with both the words "suppression" and "interception"
OR	cases with either the word "suppression" or "interception"
NOT	cases with the words "interception" and "suppression" but not "management"
AND NOT	cases with the words "suppression" and "interception" but not "management"
AND AND	cases containing the word "felony murder"
AND AND AND	cases containing the word "felony murder" AND the word "suppression"
AND AND AND AND	cases containing the word "felony murder" AND the word "suppression" AND the word "interception"
AND AND AND AND AND	cases containing the word "felony murder" AND the word "suppression" AND the word "interception" AND the word "management"

Selected Jurisdictions: ☒ All Jurisdictions ☐ All Federal Appellate ☐ All State ☐ All District Courts ☐ All Bankruptcy Courts

Recently Searched Jurisdictions: ☐ All ☐ Fed. 7th Cir., C.D. Ill., N.D. Ill., S.D. Ill., Ill. ☐ Fed. 9th Cir. ☐ All

Search Options

Date: Start Date: January 1, 2011 End Date: April 1, 2011

Results: Maximum results: 1,000 Results per page: 20 Sort by: Case Number

Authority Check: ☐ Show Number of Citations in Search Results ☒ Show Number of Citations in Entire Database

© 1999-2011 Westlaw, Inc. [Privacy Policy](#) [Terms of Service](#) [Scope of Coverage](#)

Results		Case Summaries		Point List of Results		Authority Check	
Jurisdiction:		All Jurisdictions		N 1 to 20 of 1,000 results		Print/Save Documents in Queue	
Relevance		Case		Decision Date		Cites Database	
Forensic (beta): Fatmace has identified 3 additional decisions that may be relevant to your research topic:				N 1 to 20 of 1,000 results		View Results	
23	2%	1. <i>State Of Ohio v. Aronow</i> , 2011 UT 3 (Cahm, 2011)			January 7, 2011		
131 The defendant never states exactly a description presented on the alleged offender's age together with the offender's charged. The plain language of the statute quoted that anyone who are sixteen years of age or older and are charged with murder or aggravated murder will automatically be under the district court's "exclusive original jurisdiction." § 706.6-2(c)...							
23	2%	2. <i>Rayford v. State Of Ark. Board of Prisoners</i> , 2011 Ark. BE (Ark., 2011)			February 24, 2011		
In 1994, prisoner Larry Rayford was found guilty by a jury of capital murder and sentenced to life imprisonment without parole. We affirmed. <i>Rayford v. State</i> , 328 Ark. 656, 654 S.W.2d 486...							
23	2%	3. <i>Shaw v. State Of Ark.</i> , 2011 Ark. App. 35 (Ark. App., 2011)			January 26, 2011		
On May 12, 2005, the State filed a felony murder information in which it charged appellant with one count of criminal attempt to commit first-degree murder . On January 6, 2011, the State filed an amended information in which it charged appellant with one count of battery in the first...							
23	100%	4. <i>The People v. Chao</i> (Cal. App., 2011)			February 5, 2011		
doctrine clarified in Chao does not apply in first degree felony murder cases. (Parley, supra, 46 Cal.4th at pp. 1111-1122.) The Parley court explained: "In the context of second degree felony murder , courts must interpret (Penal Code) section 189's reference to an "abandoned and malignant heart." [Citation.] In the context of first degree felony murder , however, there is no need for interpretation of the Legislature's clear language. Thus, the difference between the statutory...							
23	97%	5. <i>State Of Iowa v. Palmer</i> (Iowa App., 2011)			February 9, 2011		
Felony Murder . Palmer contends the court erred in submitting the felony murder alternative to the jury over counsel's objection. We review challenges to jury instructions for correctness of error in law. <i>State v. Spikes</i> , 555 N.W.2d 270, 274 (Iowa 2010). We review to determine whether the challenged instruction accurately states the law and is supported by substantial evidence. <i>State v. Preddy</i> , 555 N.W.2d 252, 254 (Iowa 1995). Error in giving a particular instruction does not warrant...							
23	75%	6. <i>The People v. Wilkins</i> (Cal. App., 2011)			January 7, 2011		
The court instructed the jury that defendant was charged with murder under the felony murder rule based upon his alleged commission of a burglary, and that the felony murder rule requires "the act causing the death and the burglary were part of one continuous transaction." (CALCRIM No. 500C; see Pen. Code, § 188.) The court then gave a modified version of CALCRIM No. 500, defining a continuous transaction: "In order for the People to prove that the defendant is guilty of murder under a...							
23	69%	7. <i>State ex. rel. Roop v. Roemer v. McRide</i> (W.Va., 2011)			February 25, 2011		
At pre-trial, the State elected to proceed on the Felony Murder charge of Count I and dismissed the Delivery of a Controlled Substance charge of Count II (being the act underlying the Felony Murder charge). (Pre-trial Order)...							
23	67%	8. <i>The People v. McKinstry</i> (Cal. App., 2011)			March 4, 2011		
Finally, any juror who did not act on the erroneous felony murder theory, although required only to find gross negligence, would have found malice if they had been required to do so. Any juror who acted on the felony murder theory necessarily found that defendant intentionally fired the gun in a grossly negligent manner, which means a reasonable person would have known defendant was endangering Stephanie's life. The prosecutor's argument under the theory was that defendant intentionally...							
23	66%	9. <i>State Of Tenn. v. West</i> (Tenn. Crim. App., 2011)			March 11, 2011		
Pursuant to Rule 4 of the Tennessee Rules of Appellate Procedure, the State appeals the trial court order denying jury instructions or lesser included offenses at the Defendant, Guana Wilks Varns' trial for felony murder . See T.C.A. §39-13-202(a)(2) (1991). The Defendant was originally convicted by a Jefferson County jury of felony murder committed in the perpetration of aggravated rape and two counts of first-degree murder. He was sentenced to death plus six years' incarceration. In affirming his...							
23	54%	10. <i>State Of Idaho v. Green</i> (Idaho App., 2011)			March 25, 2011		
The court instructed the jury that defendant was charged with murder under the felony murder rule based upon his alleged commission of a burglary, and that the felony murder rule requires "the act causing the death and the burglary were part of one continuous transaction." (CALCRIM No. 500C; see Pen. Code, § 188.) The court then gave a modified version of CALCRIM No. 500, defining a continuous transaction: "In order for the People to prove that the defendant is guilty of murder under a...							
23	54%	11. <i>Shelton v. Hines</i> (Mo. App., 2011)			March 17, 2011		
The United States Supreme Court and this Court have consistently held that a sentence of death must be proportional to the defendant's culpability. Thus, in <i>Enmund</i> the Court							

Advanced CaseLaw Search

Search Type: ☒ Keyword Search (Boolean) ☐ Natural Language ☐ Citation Lookup

New search

Search

Show Search Tips

Boolean

Example (click to run a search)

Result

AND &

keyword1 AND keyword2

cases with both the words "keyword1" and "keyword2"

OR

keyword1 OR keyword2

cases with either the word "keyword1" or "keyword2"

NOT

keyword1 NOT keyword2

cases with the word "keyword1" but not the word "keyword2"

Grouping (OR grouped) AND assignment

keyword1 OR keyword2 AND keyword3

cases with either "keyword1" or "keyword2", and also the word "keyword3"

Wildcard

keyword1 *

cases containing the word "keyword1" anywhere

Case ID assignment

keyword1 CASEID keyword2

cases in which the word "keyword1" appears within 2 words of the word "keyword2"

Wildcard

keyword1 *keyword2

keyword1 - case containing variations of the words, such as "keyword1", "keyword2", "terminator"

Select Jurisdictions

Recently Searched Jurisdictions

All Jurisdictions

All Federal Appellate

All State

All District Courts

All Bankruptcy Courts

Individual Jurisdictions...

IL

Ill. 7th Cir., C.D. Ill., N.D. Ill., S.D. Ill., Ill.

Ill. 7th Cir.

Ill. App.

Search Options

Date

Start Date:

End Date:

Results

Maximum results:

Results per page:

Sort by:

Authority Check

☐ Show Number of Citations in Search Results

☐ Show Number of Citations in Cites Database

© 1999-2011 Fatmace, Inc. [Privacy Policy](#) [Terms of Service](#) [Sitemap](#) [Contact Us](#)

Results					Case Summaries		Point List of Results		Authority Check	
Jurisdiction:					All Jurisdictions		N 1 to 20 of 397 results		Print/Save Documents in Queue	
Relevance					Case		Decision Date		Cites Database	
Forensic (beta): Fatmace has identified 3 additional decisions that may be relevant to your research topic, but do not					View Results		1 to 20 of 397 results			
23	100%	1. <i>The People v. Chao</i> (Cal. App., 2011)			February 1, 2011		0			
doctrine clarified in Chao does not apply in first degree felony murder cases. (Parley, supra, 46 Cal.4th at pp. 1111-1122.) The Parley court explained: "In the context of second degree felony murder , courts must interpret (Penal Code) section 189's reference to an "abandoned and malignant heart." [Citation.] In the context of first degree felony murder , however, there is no need for interpretation of the Legislature's clear language. Thus, the difference between the statutory...										
23	100%	2. <i>State Of Tenn. v. West</i> (Tenn. Crim. App., 2011)			March 11, 2011		0			
Pursuant to Rule 4 of the Tennessee Rules of Appellate Procedure, the State appeals the trial court order denying jury instructions or lesser included offenses at the Defendant, Guana Wilks Varns' trial for felony murder . See T.C.A. §39-13-202(a)(2) (1991). The Defendant was originally convicted by a Jefferson County jury of felony murder committed in the perpetration of aggravated rape and two counts of first-degree murder. He was sentenced to death plus six years' incarceration. In affirming his...										
23	92%	3. <i>The People v. Wilkins</i> (Cal. App., 2011)			January 7, 2011		0			
The court instructed the jury that defendant was charged with murder under the felony murder rule based upon his alleged commission of a burglary, and that the felony murder rule requires "the act causing the death and the burglary were part of one continuous transaction." (CALCRIM No. 500C; see Pen. Code, § 188.) The court then gave a modified version of CALCRIM No. 500, defining a continuous transaction: "In order for the People to prove that the defendant is guilty of murder under a...										
23	90%	4. <i>State ex. rel. Roop v. Roemer v. McRide</i> (W.Va., 2011)			February 25, 2011		0			
At pre-trial, the State elected to proceed on the Felony Murder charge of Count I and dismissed the Delivery of a Controlled Substance charge of Count II (being the act underlying the Felony Murder charge). (Pre-trial Order)...										
23	89%	5. <i>State Of Iowa v. Palmer</i> (Iowa App., 2011)			February 9, 2011		0			
Felony Murder . Palmer contends the court erred in submitting the felony murder alternative to the jury over counsel's objection. We review challenges to jury instructions for correctness of error in law. <i>State v. Spikes</i> , 555 N.W.2d 270, 274 (Iowa 2010). We review to determine whether the challenged instruction accurately states the law and is supported by substantial evidence. <i>State v. Preddy</i> , 555 N.W.2d 252, 254 (Iowa 1995). Error in giving a particular instruction does not warrant...										
23	81%	6. <i>The People v. McKinstry</i> (Cal. App., 2011)			March 4, 2011		0			
Finally, any juror who did not act on the erroneous felony murder theory, although required only to find gross negligence, would have found malice if they had been required to do so. Any juror who acted on the felony murder theory necessarily found that defendant intentionally fired the gun in a grossly negligent manner, which means a reasonable person would have known defendant was endangering Stephanie's life. The prosecutor's argument under the theory was that defendant intentionally...										
23	71%	7. <i>State Of Tenn. v. West</i> (Tenn. Crim. App., 2011)			January 25, 2011		0			
The State sought for permission to appeal to the Court, arguing that the Court of Criminal Appeals had erred by holding that the evidence was insufficient to support a conviction for felony murder after the Defendant's trial. The Defendant also filed an application for permission to appeal, arguing that when the evidence is insufficient to support a conviction for felony murder , the State is not entitled to a new trial. The Court of Criminal Appeals, by a majority vote, denied the State's application for permission to appeal...										
23	68%	8. <i>State Of N.Y. v. Garcia</i> (N.Y. App., 2011)			January 12, 2011		0			
(1) In his appeal, Defendant presents six issues. He contends that (1) insufficient evidence was presented to support the tampering with evidence and felony murder convictions; (2) the district court erred in granting Defendant's motion to proceed <i>pro se</i> ; (3) the district court erred by refusing to sever Defendant's felonies in possession of a firearm charges; (4) Defendant's statement to the State police was improperly admitted; (5) defense counsel rendered ineffective assistance; and (6) the...										
23	66%	9. <i>Shelton v. Hines</i> (Mo. App., 2011)			January 20, 2011		0			
The court instructed the jury that defendant was charged with murder under the felony murder rule based upon his alleged commission of a burglary, and that the felony murder rule requires "the act causing the death and the burglary were part of one continuous transaction." (CALCRIM No. 500C; see Pen. Code, § 188.) The court then gave a modified version of CALCRIM No. 500, defining a continuous transaction: "In order for the People to prove that the defendant is guilty of murder under a...										
23	66%	10. <i>State Of N.Y. v. Garcia</i> (N.Y. App., 2011)			January 12, 2011		0			
(1) In his appeal, Defendant presents six issues. He contends that (1) insufficient evidence was presented to support the tampering with evidence and felony murder convictions; (2) the district court erred in granting Defendant's motion to proceed <i>pro se</i> ; (3) the district court erred by refusing to sever Defendant's felonies in possession of a firearm charges; (4) Defendant's statement to the State police was improperly admitted; (5) defense counsel rendered ineffective assistance; and (6) the...										
23	64%	11. <i>State Of Idaho v. Green</i> (Idaho App., 2011)			March 24, 2011		0			
The court instructed the jury that defendant was charged with murder under the felony murder rule based upon his alleged commission of a burglary, and that the felony murder rule requires "the act causing the death and the burglary were part of one continuous transaction." (CALCRIM No. 500C; see Pen. Code, § 188.) The court then gave a modified version of CALCRIM No. 500, defining a continuous transaction: "In order for the People to prove that the defendant is guilty of murder under a...										

8

“Within” Operator

Alimony w/5 Education → The word alimony within 5 words of the word education

- ... education, alimony ...
- ... alimony and education ...
- ... alimony to gain sufficient education ...
- ... alimony and the care, custody, education ...

Advanced CaseLaw Search

Search Type: ☒ Keyword Search (Boolean) ☐ Natural Language ☐ Citation Lookup

Alimony and education

Show Search Tips

Search

Syntax	Example (click to run a search)	Result
AND &	alimony AND education	cases with both the words "alimony" and "education"
OR	alimony OR education	cases with either the word "alimony" or "education"
NOT	alimony NOT management	cases with the word "alimony" and "management" but not the word "management"
1	alimony OR management AND assignment	cases with either "alimony" or "management", and also the word "assignment"
+	"money market"	cases containing the exact phrase "money market"
~	alimony ~5 management	cases in which the word "alimony" appears within 5 words of the word "management"
*	alimony	related - cases containing variations of the word, such as "alimoney", "alimoneyer", "alimoneyed"

Select Jurisdictions

Recently Searched Jurisdictions

Search Options

Results

1999-2011

Partners, Inc.

Privacy Policy

Terms of Service

Scope of Coverage

Results: Interaction Timeline

Jurisdictions: All jurisdictions

1 to 20 of 1,000 results

Print List of Results

Print/Save Documents in Queue

Authority Check

Exact Database

Reference	Case	Decision Date	View Results
18%	1. <i>Leach v. Leach</i> , 82 N.J. 120, 518 A.2d 45 (N.J., 1986)	June 11, 1986	265
21%	2. <i>Hollie Van Dine Gitter v. Norwood Rancie Siders</i> , 218 U.S. 1, 26 S.Ct. 489, 54 L.Ed. 901 (1910)	May 31, 1910	261
23%	3. <i>Ed. Gross United Fund, Inc. v. Newson</i> , 342 U.S. 1 (1954)	June 14, 1954	288
25%	4. <i>Kunkin v. Kunkin</i> , 51 Ohio St.3d 64, 564 N.E.2d 81 (Ohio, 1990)	May 9, 1990	268
27%	5. <i>Shannon v. Shannon</i> 8222 1465, 451 U.S. 7, 36 S.Ct. 1375, 41 L.Ed.2d 688 (1975)	April 15, 1975	261
29%	6. <i>Aaron v. Aaron</i> , 905 S.W.2d 667 (Tex., 1995)	September 11, 1995	238
31%	7. <i>Robinson v. Robinson</i> , 75 N.W.2d 317 (Iowa, 1960)	April 4, 1960	165
33%	8. <i>Bentley v. Bentley</i> , 825 S.W.2d 443 (Tenn. App., 1991)	July 17, 1991	162
21%	9. <i>Cashner v. Cashner</i> , 14 S.W.3d 396 (Tenn., 2000)	April 24, 2000	137
18%	10. <i>Newburgh v. Apple</i> , 88 N.Y. 529, 463 A.2d 1021 (N.Y., 1982)	February 25, 1982	124

Advanced Caselaw Search

Search Type: Keyword Search (Boolean) | Natural Language | Citation Lookup

alimony with education

Show Search Tips

Results

Example (click to run a search)

Results

AND

OR

NOT

W/

W/O

AND NOT

Search

Case with both the words "spousal" and "interim"

Case with either the word "spousal" or "interim"

Case with the words "interim" and "spousal" but not "management"

Case with either "spousal" or "interim", and also the word "management"

Case containing the word phrase "spousal support"

Case with the word "spousal" appearing within the word "spousal"

Wildcard - cases containing variations of the stem, such as "termina", "terminat", "terminator"

Selected Jurisdictions

Recently Searched Jurisdictions

All Jurisdictions

All Federal Appellate

All State

All District Courts

All Bankruptcy Courts

Individual Jurisdictions...

DC

Ind. 7th Cir., C.D. Ill., N.D. Ill., S.D. Ill., IL

Ind. 9th Cir.

KY

Search Options

Date

Start Date: January 1, 1980

End Date: April 1, 2011

Results

Maximum results: 1,000

Results per page: 20

Sort by: Case Number

Authority Check

Show Number of Citations in Search Results

Show Number of Citations in Entire Database

© 1999-2011 Westlaw, Inc. Privacy Policy | Terms of Service | Scope of Coverage

Reference	Case	Decision Date	Citation
127	1. <i>Travis v. Travis</i> , 208 Pa. 386, 614 A.2d 590 (Pa., 1991)	September 1, 1991	112
676	2. <i>Reynolds v. Reynolds</i> , 214 Conn. 89, 570 A.2d 490 (Conn., 1990)	February 27, 1990	100
157	3. <i>Stacy v. Stacy</i> , 211 Kan. 596, 596 P.2d 1172 (Kan., 1973)	March 2, 1973	84
476	4. <i>Johnson v. Johnson</i> , 452 A.2d 917, 917 A.2d 917 (Pa., 1982)	December 15, 1982	90
245	5. <i>Spence v. Spence</i> , 771 A.2d 450, 38 Pa.App. 302 (Pa.App., 1992)	April 6, 1994	63
126	6. <i>Spence v. Spence</i> , 497 A.2d 485, 64 Pa.App. 487 (Pa.App., 1985)	September 1, 1984	50
127	7. <i>Spence v. Spence</i> , 570 A.2d 874, 91 Pa.App. 181 (Pa.App., 1990)	March 6, 1990	48
76	8. <i>Spence v. Spence</i> , 178 Or. 153, 163 P.2d 772 (Or., 1946)	February 5, 1946	48
245	9. <i>Spence v. Spence</i> , 328 Pa. 58, 596 A.2d 114 (Pa., 1991)	September 1, 1991	66
157	10. <i>Spence v. Spence</i> , 592 A.2d 1068, 66 Pa.App. 68 (Pa.App., 1991)	September 1, 1991	28
157	11. <i>Spence v. Spence</i> , 598 P.2d 1168 (Kan., 1981)	April 15, 1981	20

OR Operator

Landlord OR Lessor → Cases containing at least one of the two words

Landlord

Lessor

10

Results				
Interactive Timeline				
Jurisdiction: IL Jurisdictions				
1 to 6 of 6 results. Print List of Results Print/Save Documents in Queue Authority Check				
Relevance	Case	Decision Date	These Results	Entire Database
100%	1. <i>In re National Real Estate LLC v. B&E Energy Inc.</i> , 299 Ill.App.3d 554, 526 N.E.2d 446, 333 Ill. App. 2010 Defendants filed a motion for a summary judgment, to which they attached copies of plaintiff's deed and the deed from the lessor in a bankruptcy sale. The court held that the transfer was subject to "the terms of the Asset Purchase Agreement" signed by the bankruptcy court, which order states that each purchaser in the bankruptcy sale shall take title subject to "Permitted Liens." Also attached to the motion for a summary...		1 to 6 of 6 results.	0
98%	2. <i>Brobbeys v. Enter. Leasing Co. Of Chicago (Ill. App., 2010)</i> ETHEC BROBBEY, a Minor, By Her Father and Next Friend, John Brobbey, ANNABROBBEY, a Minor, By Her Father and Next Friend, John Brobbey, ENOCH BROBBEY, By His Father and Next Friend, John Brobbey, GIOVONCA ADEBIELE, a Minor, By Her Father and Next Friend, Adedolun Adebile, ADIOVOYI ADEBIELE, a Minor, By Her Father and Next Friend, Adedolun Adebile, GLADYS SHABANEY, KODZIOYI NAFASSA, JOHNBROBBEY, ADIOVOYI ADEBIELE, and KID ADEBIELE, Plaintiffs-Appellants, v. ENTERPRISE LEASING...	August 27, 2010	0	0
39%	3. <i>Giffith v. Pt. St. Trust (Ill. App., 2010)</i> "Every covenant, agreement or understanding in or in connection with *** any lease of real property, exempting the lessor from liability for damages for injuries..."	August 31, 2010	0	0
39%	4. <i>Fitzpatric v. LaPlante, No. 2-09-0328 (Ill. App. 4/5/2010) (Ill. App., 2010)</i> On August 1, 2008, defendant N. Christine Smith, purportedly acting as secretary/treasurer of the family corporation, plaintiff Fitzpatric Industrial Park, Inc. (FPI), who was owner of Fitzpatric (FPIA) for her father, plaintiff Nelson M. Fitzpatric, signed a lease agreement with the Lessee with her late-in-boyfriend of several years, defendant Gregory LaPlante. The Lessee named FPI as lessor and Gregory as lessee, and the premises included 16 properties within the Fitzpatric Industrial Park, in addition...	April 5, 2010	0	0
22%	5. <i>1324 W. Pratt Condo. Ass'n v. Platt Concor. Group Inc (Ill. App., 2010)</i> Defendants argue for the first time in their reply brief before this court that, because the words "rights and privileges" appear in the definition of "property," defendants had the authority to assign licenses. This view is mistaken. As noted above, the Property Tax Code has been construed as not to authorize a sale or disposition of assessment on exempt property that is merely licensed. See 35 ILCS 200/9-70 (West 2008); 35 ILCS 200/9-195 (West 2008); 35 ILCS 200/9-130 (West 2008); Kanevsky County Board...	September 28, 2010	0	0
17%	6. <i>Milkenium Park Joint Venture LLC v. Houlihan (Ill., 2010)</i> Defendants argue for the first time in their reply brief before this court that, because the words "rights and privileges" appear in the definition of "property," defendants had the authority to assign licenses. This view is mistaken. As noted above, the Property Tax Code has been construed as not to authorize a sale or disposition of assessment on exempt property that is merely licensed. See 35 ILCS 200/9-70 (West 2008); 35 ILCS 200/9-195 (West 2008); 35 ILCS 200/9-130 (West 2008); Kanevsky County Board...	December 23, 2010	0	0
© 1999-2011 Fastcase, Inc. Privacy Policy Terms of Service Scope of Coverage				

Advanced Caselaw Search

Search Type: Keyword Search (Boolean) Natural Language Citation Lookup

Search or insert

Show Search Tips Search

Recent Jurisdictions Recently Searched Jurisdictions

☐ All Jurisdictions ☒ IL ☐ Fed. 7th Cir., C.D. Ill., N.D. Ill., S.D. Ill., Ill.

☐ All Federal Appellate ☐ Fed. 9th Cir.

☐ All State ☐ KS

☐ All District Courts

☐ All Bankruptcy Courts

☐ Individual Jurisdictions...

Search Options

Date

Start Date: April 2010

End Date: April 2011

Results

Maximum results: 1,000

Results per page: 20

Sort by: Relevance

Authority Check

☒ Show Number of Citations in Search Results


☒ Show Number of Citations in Entire Database

© 1999-2011 Fastcase, Inc. Privacy Policy | Terms of Service | Scope of Coverage

Results				
Interactive Timeline				
Jurisdiction: IL Jurisdictions				
1 to 20 of 26 results. Print List of Results Print/Save Documents in Queue Authority Check				
Relevance	Case	Decision Date	These Results	Entire Database
100%	1. <i>Bright Horizons Children's Ctr. 6 LLC v. Riverway Midwest 3 LLC (Ill. App., 2010)</i> Article 14 of the lease agreement, entitled "Landlord's Right to Relocate," are primarily concerned in this appeal, provided as follows: "Landlord's Right to Relocate" (1) 30 days prior written notice to Tenant to relocate the Premises other space in a building in the Riverway Complex or in another affiliated entity of Landlord, in the vicinity containing etc.,	July 23, 2010	1 to 20 of 26 results.	0
100%	2. <i>Bright Horizons Children's Ctr. 6 LLC v. Riverway Midwest 3 LLC - 603 Ill.App.3d 234, 331 Ill. App. 2010</i> shall reimburse Tenant for all reasonable third party expenses incurred in connection with, and caused by, such relocation. In no event shall Landlord be liable to Tenant for any consequential damages as a result of any such relocation, including, but not limited to, loss of business income or opportunity. In the event that Tenant and Landlord cannot mutually agree on the relocation space within thirty (30) days after Landlord provides Tenant with notice of such relocation, then Landlord shall...	June 25, 2010	0	2
45%	3. <i>The VII, Of Palestine v. Palestine America LLC (Ill. App., 2010)</i> There was also a provision in the lease stating that "30-day notice or demand from Landlord to Tenant or from Tenant to Landlord shall be mailed by registered or certified..."	December 17, 2010	0	0
36%	4. <i>In re Bank Of St. Louis v. Poulsen/Lease, 401 Ill.App.3d 884, 931 N.E.2d 285, 341 Ill. Dec. 677 (Ill. App., 2010)</i> On June 10, 2009, the trial court entered a memorandum decision and judgment in which it made the following findings of fact and conclusions of law: (1) the Bank has a perfected security interest in all of its collateral; (2) perfection of the Bank's security interest in its collateral did not require a future filing; (3) Landlord had a perfected Landlord's lien upon the disputed equipment; (4) the Bank's security interest in its collateral has priority over the Landlord's lien, and the...	June 4, 2010	1	2
27%	5. <i>Liberty Nat. Fire Ins. Co. v. Hill (Ill. App., 2010)</i> The lease also addressed the operation and maintenance of the shopping center's common areas. Section 8.02 defined the "common areas" to include "areas *** not contained within any leased premises" and "service and fire exit corridors." To section 8.02, the Landlord "inserted" its clause to be operated and maintained *** at common areas within the Shopping Center" and specified that the "manner in which such areas and facilities shall be operated and maintained *** shall be at the sole..."	December 17, 2010	0	0
16%	6. <i>Sanchez v. Wilmette Real Estate (Ill. App., 2010)</i> The Illinois Supreme Court has repeatedly held that "the simple relationship between a landlord and tenant *** is not a 'special' one imposing a duty to protect against the criminal acts of others." Rowe, 125 Ill. 2d at 241, citing People v. Chicago Housing Authority, 79 Ill. 2d 264, 369 (1979); People v. Chicago Housing Authority, 89 Ill. 2d 123, 126 (1982); Martin v. Cohen, 55 Ill. App. 3d 406, 410-11 (1977); Trice v. Chicago Housing Authority, 14 Ill. App. 2d 92, 99-101 (1973).	August 19, 2010	0	0
17%	7. <i>In re National Real Estate LLC v. B&E Energy Inc.</i> , 299 Ill.App.3d 554, 526 N.E.2d 446, 333 Ill. Dec. 214 (Ill. App., 2010) Defendants filed a motion for a summary judgment, to which they attached copies of the deeds by which plaintiff had acquired its interest in the coal from the lessor in a bankruptcy sale. The deeds state, inter alia, that the transfer was subject to "the terms of the Asset Purchase Agreement" approved by an order of the bankruptcy court, which order states that each purchaser in the bankruptcy sale shall take title subject to "Permitted Liens." Also attached to the motion for a summary...	April 1, 2010	0	0
12%	8. <i>Brobbeys v. Enter. Leasing Co. Of Chicago (Ill. App., 2010)</i> ETHEC BROBBEY, a Minor, By Her Father and Next Friend, John Brobbey, ANNABROBBEY, a Minor, By Her Father and Next Friend, John Brobbey, ENOCH BROBBEY, By His Father and Next Friend, John Brobbey, GIOVONCA ADEBIELE, a Minor, By Her Father and Next Friend, Adedolun Adebile, ADIOVOYI ADEBIELE, a Minor, By Her Father and Next Friend, Adedolun Adebile, GLADYS SHABANEY, KODZIOYI NAFASSA, JOHNBROBBEY, ADIOVOYI ADEBIELE, and KID ADEBIELE, Plaintiffs-Appellants, v. ENTERPRISE LEASING...	August 27, 2010	0	0

OR Operator

Landlord OR Lessor → 26 Cases



Wildcard Operator

Delib* → Cases containing any word that begins with “delib”

delib~~er~~ate
delib~~er~~ation
delib~~er~~ator
delib~~er~~ately

[illegible]

Results		Interactive Timeline		Print List of Results		Print/Save Documents in Queue		Authority Check	
Jurisdiction		1 to 20 of 652 results		1 to 20 of 652 results		1 to 20 of 652 results		1 to 20 of 652 results	
Relevance	Case	Decision Date		Authority Check		Authority Check		Authority Check	
Forensic (beta) Factbase has identified 3 additional decisions that may be relevant to your research									
6%	1. <i>Roberts v. Saville</i> , 230 Kan. 285, 637 P.2d 1175 (Kan., 1981)	October 23, 1981		105		105		105	
How fit or fair is the particular facts alleged in this case and consider those elements necessary to a finding of action for negligent conduct was the conduct of defendant intentional? Based upon the allegations and the deposition testimony of plaintiff, the conduct of defendant was deliberate and intentional. We do not need to belabor that question to answer in the...									
26%	2. <i>State v. Grooms</i> , 251 Kan. 851, 846 P.2d 1142 (Kan., 1992)	November 10, 1992		92		92		92	
In <i>State v. Grooms</i> , 251 Kan. 851, 846 P.2d 1142 (1992), the trial court, relying upon <i>People v. Delawane</i> , 439 U.S. 154, 58 S.Ct. 2029, 57 L.Ed.2d 487 (1978), reversed the general jury applying a <i>Reese</i> statement in an attempt to find a search warrant and extended the rule to a deliberate criticism. This court stated that a person attacking the affidavit must show the deliberate omission of material information. 252 Kan. at 855, 859 P.2d...									
10%	3. <i>State v. Gault</i> , 144 P.2d 647 (Kan., 2000)	October 27, 2000		88		88		88	
In this case, the testimony of Adams and Main about the previous violent incident or incidents between Sharp and Gault was relevant to prove motive, intent, and lack of mistake or accident, all elements that relate to the murder. See <i>State v. Arvey</i> , 27 P.3d 274, 911 S.W.2d 343 (1997) (evidence of prior physical mistreatment of wife, intended to prove motive and intent); <i>State v. Johnson</i> , 200 P.3d 174, 911 S.W.2d 343 (1997) (same). All were directly in...									
7%	4. <i>Spratt Motors, Inc. v. Universal Underwriters Ins. Co.</i> , 212 Kan. 461, 512 P.2d 403 (Kan., 1973)	July 14, 1973		81		81		81	
"We actually question whether anyone would hold that the injury itself was deliberate . True, taking possession of Roushcraft's truck was intentional, but [we] would be hard-pressed to prove that if Roushcraft did sustain an injury, this was, too..."									
5%	5. <i>State v. Morris</i> , 262 Kan. 536, 578 P.2d 1170 (Kan., 1977)	April 18, 1977		80		80		80	
In <i>State v. Morris</i> , 262 Kan. 536, 578 P.2d 1170 (1977), the trial court modified the PSE definition of deliberate and premeditated, defining premeditation as "thought of beforehand for any length of time sufficient to form an intent to act, however short." The <i>Maritime</i> court noted that the record reflected abundant evidence to show deliberation and premeditation. 252 Kan. at 538, 578 P.2d 1170. The court held: "While we do not approve the experimental instruction given by the trial..."									
14%	6. <i>Albright v. Providence St. Vincent Health Center</i> , 5 Kan.App.2d 659, 668 P.2d 1031 (Kan.App., 1983)	August 2, 1984		76		76		76	
Deliberate abuse of a patient, guard or...									
5%	7. <i>Roberts v. Saville</i> , 230 Kan. 285, 637 P.2d 1175 (Kan., 1981)	October 23, 1981		75		75		75	
In <i>Roberts v. Saville</i> , 230 Kan. 285, 637 P.2d 1175 (1981), the trial court modified the PSE definition of deliberate and premeditated, defining premeditation as "thought of beforehand for any length of time sufficient to form an intent to act, however short." The <i>Maritime</i> court noted that the record reflected abundant evidence to show deliberation and premeditation. 252 Kan. at 538, 578 P.2d 1170. The court held: "While we do not approve the experimental instruction given by the trial..."									
12%	8. <i>Talbot v. A.H. Robins Co.</i> , 738 P.2d 1210, 241 Kan. 441 (Kan., 1987)	June 12, 1987		72		72		72	
The plaintiff's action was grounded in part upon fraud. Before deliberate misrepresentation and concealment of the effectiveness of the Deltan Shield. In <i>Wm. v. Hixson</i> , 42 Kan. 488, 652 P.2d 105 (1983), this court stated: "Of these fraud is alleged, it is always permissible to prove every act of the party charged, connected in any way with the subject-matter of the fraud." Similarly, in <i>Cole v. Brown</i> , 201 Kan. 754, 758, 857 P.2d 156 (1993), the court held that other actions of the...									
10%	9. <i>State v. Buehler</i> , 245 Kan. 653, 731 P.2d 842 (Kan., 1987)	January 15, 1987		72		72		72	
There is no evidence to suggest that there was a full withholding of the information concerning Gault in the prosecution. Nor was there a deliberate refusal to honor a subpoena. The statements by Loretta Gault were clearly not exculpatory. They were the statements of a man who was later declared an incompetent. Even the defendant's own testimony concerning his actions during the day of the shooting contradicted the statements of Gault. There was no withholding of...									
5%	10. <i>Bar v. Post</i> , 248 Kan. 524, 811 P.2d 1120 (Kan., 1991)	May 24, 1991		70		70		70	
248 Kan. 524. "While we do not believe that the withholding of the information concerning Gault in the prosecution. Nor was there a deliberate refusal to testify as requested for evidence. The statements by Loretta Gault were clearly not exculpatory. They were the statements of a man who was later declared an incompetent. Even the defendant's own testimony concerning his actions during the day of the shooting contradicted the statements of Gault. There was no withholding of...									
9%	11. <i>State v. Hebert</i> , 277 Kan. 61, 82 P.2d 470 (Kan., 2004)	January 16, 2004		69		69		69	
The prosecutor's remark in this case, as in <i>Pabst II</i> , falls very close to the disapproved "in an instant" language. Likewise, the prosecutor's remark on premeditation falls outside the...									

Advanced CaseLaw Search

Search Type: ☒ Keyword Search (Boolean) ☐ Natural Language ☐ Citation Lookup

Case

Example (click to run a search)

Result

☒ Show Search Tips

Boolean

Example (click to run a search)

Result

AND, &

keyword AND keyword

cases with both the words "keyword" and "keyword"

OR

keyword OR keyword

cases with either the word "keyword" or "keyword"

NOT

keyword NOT keyword

cases with the word "keyword" but not "keyword"

()

keyword OR keyword AND keyword

cases with either "keyword" or "keyword", and also the word "keyword"

+

keyword AND keyword

cases in which the word "keyword" appears within 2 words of the word "keyword"

~

keyword

without - cases containing variations of the stem, such as "terminal", "terminals", "terminalist"

Select Jurisdictions

Recently Searched Jurisdictions

☐ All Jurisdictions

☐ All Federal Appellate

☐ All State

☐ All District Courts

☐ All Bankruptcy Courts

☐ Individual Jurisdictions...

☒ KS

☐ IL

☐ Fed. 7th Cir., C.D. Ill., N.D. Ill., S.D. Ill., Ill.

☐ Fed. 9th Cir.

☐ Fed. 10th Cir.

Search Options

Date

Start Date: End Date:

Results

Maximum results: Results per page: Sort by:

Authority Check

☐ Show Number of Citations in Search Results

☐ Show Number of Citations in Entire Database


© 1999-2011, Factbase, Inc.

[Home](#) [Privacy Policy](#) [Terms of Service](#) [Notice of Collection](#)

Results		Interactive Timeline		Print List of Results		Print/Save Documents in Queue		Authority Check	
Jurisdiction		1 to 20 of 1,000 results		1 to 20 of 1,000 results		1 to 20 of 1,000 results		1 to 20 of 1,000 results	
Relevance	Case	Decision Date		Authority Check		Authority Check		Authority Check	
Forensic (beta) Factbase has identified 3 additional decisions that may be relevant to your research									
3%	1. <i>State v. Fahn</i> , 268 Kan. 261, 295 P.2d 321 (Kan., 2000)	February 11, 2000		92		92		92	
The opinion of the court was delivered by...									
11%	2. <i>State v. Grooms</i> , 251 Kan. 851, 846 P.2d 1142 (Kan., 1992)	November 10, 1992		92		92		92	
Although evidence establishing the corpus delicti often is intertwined with evidence establishing the identity of the killer, it is not necessary to establish the killer's identity in order to establish the corpus delicti. Hence, in order to establish the corpus delicti, the State only needed to prove that Kufley, Busby, and Brown were killed by criminal means, not that Grooms was the killer. Grooms's claim that the State failed to link him to the deaths of the three women will be discussed...									
3%	3. <i>State v. Gault</i> , 144 P.2d 647 (Kan., 2000)	October 27, 2000		88		88		88	
The opinion of the court was delivered by BEER...									
5%	4. <i>State v. Morris</i> , 262 Kan. 536, 578 P.2d 1170 (Kan., 1977)	April 18, 1977		80		80		80	
In <i>State v. Morris</i> , 262 Kan. 536, 578 P.2d 1170 (1977), the trial court modified the PSE definition of deliberate and premeditated, defining premeditation as "thought of beforehand for any length of time sufficient to form an intent to act, however short." The <i>Maritime</i> court noted that the record reflected abundant evidence to show deliberation and premeditation. 252 Kan. at 538, 578 P.2d 1170. The court held: "While we do not approve the experimental instruction given by the trial..."									
5%	5. <i>State v. Hawk</i> , 248 Kan. 728, 733 P.2d 737 (Kan., 1990)	May 31, 1990		78		78		78	
The record established the content of the evidence against me: (1) Was the information delivered? (2) Did the trial court or in admitting evidence of Hawk's prior crimes and bad character? (3) Did the trial court or in limiting cross-examination of the State's major witness (Hawk's ex-wife)? (4) Did the trial court or in failing to instruct the jury on unlawful deprivation of property and in giving supplementary instructions on a question raised during deliberations? (5) Was...									
6%	6. <i>Albright v. Providence St. Vincent Health Center</i> , 5 Kan.App.2d 659, 668 P.2d 1031 (Kan.App., 1983)	August 2, 1984		76		76		76	
Deliberate abuse of a patient, guard or...									
7%	7. <i>Roberts v. Saville</i> , 230 Kan. 285, 637 P.2d 1175 (Kan., 1981)	October 23, 1981		75		75		75	
In <i>Roberts v. Saville</i> , 230 Kan. 285, 637 P.2d 1175 (1981), the trial court modified the PSE definition of deliberate and premeditated, defining premeditation as "thought of beforehand for any length of time sufficient to form an intent to act, however short." The <i>Maritime</i> court noted that the record reflected abundant evidence to show deliberation and premeditation. 252 Kan. at 538, 578 P.2d 1170. The court held: "While we do not approve the experimental instruction given by the trial..."									
5%	8. <i>Talbot v. A.H. Robins Co.</i> , 738 P.2d 1210, 241 Kan. 441 (Kan., 1987)	June 12, 1987		72		72		72	
The plaintiff's action was grounded in part upon fraud. Before deliberate misrepresentation and concealment of the effectiveness of the Deltan Shield. In <i>Wm. v. Hixson</i> , 42 Kan. 488, 652 P.2d 105 (1983), this court stated: "Of these fraud is alleged, it is always permissible to prove every act of the party charged, connected in any way with the subject-matter of the fraud." Similarly, in <i>Cole v. Brown</i> , 201 Kan. 754, 758, 857 P.2d 156 (1993), the court held that other actions of the...									
4%	9. <i>State v. Hixson</i> , 42 Kan. 488, 652 P.2d 105 (Kan., 1983)	January 17, 1983		72		72		72	
The trial court allowed all of the conflicting testimony on the specific blood analysis and the reliability of the Multi-System analysis and, if the jury found the test not to be generally accepted, they were not to use the test results in their...									
4%	10. <i>Bar v. Post</i> , 248 Kan. 524, 811 P.2d 1120 (Kan., 1991)	May 24, 1991		70		70		70	
There is no evidence to suggest that there was a full withholding of the information concerning Gault in the prosecution. Nor was there a deliberate refusal to testify as requested for evidence. The statements by Loretta Gault were clearly not exculpatory. They were the statements of a man who was later declared an incompetent. Even the defendant's own testimony concerning his actions during the day of the shooting contradicted the statements of Gault. There was no withholding of...									
10%	11. <i>State v. Hebert</i> , 277 Kan. 61, 82 P.2d 470 (Kan., 2004)	January 16, 2004		69		69		69	
In <i>Lewis</i> , the officers failed to give Miranda warnings and used deliberate coercive tactics during the first interrogation in an attempt to obtain incriminating statements from Lewis. Too hours later, the officers continued to use improper tactics in a second interrogation until Lewis confessed. At that point, he was given a Miranda warning and confessed. This court reversed the district court's admission of the pre- and post-Miranda statements because the State failed to overcome the...									


14

“chemical waste” NOT management → Cases containing the phrase chemical waste but not the word management

[illegible]

	Search	Navigation Timeline	Case Summary		
Application	1	2	3	4	5
Parameters	1	2	3	4	5
Reference Case	1	2	3	4	5
Decision Date	1	2	3	4	5
Author/Case	1	2	3	4	5
Case Name	1	2	3	4	5
Case Description	1	2	3	4	5
Case Outcome	1	2	3	4	5
Case Status	1	2	3	4	5
Case Type	1	2	3	4	5
Case Category	1	2	3	4	5
Case Subcategory	1	2	3	4	5
Case Keywords	1	2	3	4	5
Case Tags	1	2	3	4	5
Case Links	1	2	3	4	5
Case Documents	1	2	3	4	5
Case Images	1	2	3	4	5
Case Audio	1	2	3	4	5
Case Video	1	2	3	4	5
Case Comments	1	2	3	4	5
Case History	1	2	3	4	5
Case Audit	1	2	3	4	5
Case Review	1	2	3	4	5
Case Approval	1	2	3	4	5
Case Rejection	1	2	3	4	5
Case Withdrawal	1	2	3	4	5
Case Cancellation	1	2	3	4	5
Case Termination	1	2	3	4	5
Case Completion	1	2	3	4	5
Case Archiving	1	2	3	4	5
Case Deletion	1	2	3	4	5
Case Restoration	1	2	3	4	5
Case Backup	1	2	3	4	5
Case Recovery	1	2	3	4	5
Case Migration	1	2	3	4	5
Case Integration	1	2	3	4	5
Case Interfacing	1	2	3	4	5
Case Synchronization	1	2	3	4	5
Case Syncing	1	2	3	4	5
Case Updating	1	2	3	4	5
Case Modifying	1	2	3	4	5
Case Editing	1	2	3	4	5
Case Formatting	1	2	3	4	5
Case Styling	1	2	3	4	5
Case Theming	1	2	3	4	5
Case Branding	1	2	3	4	5
Case Localization	1	2	3	4	5
Case Internationalization	1	2	3	4	5
Case Globalization	1	2	3	4	5
Case Multilingual	1	2	3	4	5
Case Bilingual	1	2	3	4	5
Case Trilingual	1	2	3	4	5
Case Polylingual	1	2	3	4	5
Case Multicultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Cross-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Trans-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Inter-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Intra-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Para-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Meta-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Super-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Sub-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Neo-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Paleo-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Proto-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Archaic-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Ancient-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Pre-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Proto-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Archaic-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Ancient-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Pre-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Proto-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Archaic-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Ancient-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Pre-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Proto-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Archaic-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Ancient-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Pre-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Proto-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Archaic-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Ancient-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Pre-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Proto-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Archaic-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Ancient-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Pre-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Proto-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Archaic-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Ancient-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Pre-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Proto-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Archaic-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Ancient-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Pre-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Proto-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Archaic-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Ancient-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Pre-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Proto-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Archaic-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Ancient-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Pre-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Proto-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Archaic-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Ancient-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Pre-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Proto-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Archaic-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Ancient-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Pre-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Proto-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Archaic-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Ancient-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Pre-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Proto-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Archaic-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Ancient-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Pre-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Proto-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Archaic-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Ancient-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Pre-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Proto-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Archaic-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Ancient-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Pre-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Proto-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Archaic-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Ancient-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Pre-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Proto-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Archaic-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Ancient-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Pre-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Proto-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Archaic-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Ancient-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Pre-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Proto-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Archaic-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Ancient-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Pre-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Proto-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Archaic-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Ancient-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Pre-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Proto-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Archaic-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Ancient-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Pre-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Proto-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Archaic-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Ancient-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Pre-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Proto-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Archaic-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Ancient-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Pre-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Proto-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Archaic-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Ancient-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Pre-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Proto-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Archaic-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Ancient-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Pre-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Proto-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Archaic-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Ancient-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Pre-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Proto-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Archaic-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Ancient-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Pre-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Proto-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Archaic-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Ancient-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Pre-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Proto-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Archaic-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Ancient-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Pre-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Proto-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Archaic-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Ancient-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Pre-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Proto-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Archaic-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Ancient-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Pre-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Proto-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Archaic-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Ancient-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Pre-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Proto-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Archaic-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Ancient-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Pre-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Proto-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Archaic-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Ancient-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Pre-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Proto-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Archaic-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Ancient-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Pre-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Proto-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Archaic-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Ancient-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Pre-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Proto-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Archaic-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Ancient-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Pre-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Proto-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Archaic-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Ancient-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Pre-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Proto-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Archaic-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Ancient-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Pre-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Proto-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Archaic-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Ancient-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Pre-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Proto-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Archaic-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Ancient-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Pre-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Proto-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Archaic-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Ancient-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Pre-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Proto-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Archaic-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Ancient-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Pre-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Proto-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Archaic-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Ancient-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Pre-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Proto-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Archaic-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Ancient-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Pre-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Proto-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Archaic-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Ancient-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Pre-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Proto-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Archaic-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Ancient-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Pre-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Proto-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Archaic-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Ancient-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Pre-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Proto-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Archaic-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Ancient-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Pre-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Proto-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Archaic-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Ancient-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Pre-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Proto-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Archaic-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Ancient-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Pre-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Proto-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Archaic-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Ancient-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Pre-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Proto-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Archaic-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Ancient-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Pre-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Proto-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Archaic-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Ancient-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Pre-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Proto-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Archaic-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Ancient-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Pre-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Proto-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Archaic-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Ancient-cultural	1	2	3	4	5
Case Pre-cultural	1	2	3	4	5

With Parentheses	Without Parentheses
Cases Containing: Security or Pledge – AND – Assignment	Cases Containing: Security – OR – Pledge and Assignment



Advanced Caselaw Search

Search Type: ☒ Keyword Search (Boolean) ☐ Natural Language ☐ Citation Lookup

security or pledge and assignment

☒ Show Search Tips

Example (click to run a search):
AND: 8
OR:
NOT:
+:
-:
w/3:
+
Example (click to run a search):
cases with both the words "sparring" and "preparation"
cases with either the word "sparring" or "preparation"
cases with the words "sparring" and "preparation" but not "management"
cases with either "security" or "pledge", and also the word "assignment"
cases containing the exact phrase "security matter"
cases in which the word "sparring" appears within 3 words of the word "preparation"
wildcard - cases containing variations of the stem, such as "terminator", "terminator", "terminator"

Recent Jurisdictions: ☒ All
☐ All Federal Appellate
☐ All State
☐ All District Courts
☐ All Bankruptcy Courts

Recently Reached Jurisdictions:
☒ All
☐ IL
☐ Fed. Cir., C.D. Ill., N.D. Ill., S.D. Ill., Ill.
☐ Fed. 8th Cir.

Individual Jurisdictions...

Search Options

Date:
Start Date:
End Date:


Results:
Maximum results:
Results per page:
Sort by:

☒ Authority Check

☐ Show Number of Citations in Search Results
☒ Show Number of Citations in Entire Database

© 1999-2011 Westlaw, Inc. [Privacy Policy](#) [Terms of Service](#) [Score of Coverage](#)

Results			
Jurisdiction: All Jurisdictions			
1 to 20 of 1,000 results			
Print List of Results Print/Save Documents in Queue			
Relevance	Case	Decision Date	Authority Check
Favorite (beta): Fastcase has identified 2 additional decisions that may be relevant to your research			
4%	1. <i>State v. DeLeon</i> , 472 P.2d 1174, 234 Kan. 523 (Kan., 1983)	December 2, 1983	104
5. The meaning of the Fourth Amendment prohibition against unreasonable search and seizure is to safeguard the privacy and security of individuals against arbitrary invasion by government officials by imposing a standard of reasonableness upon the exercise of those officials...			
3%	2. <i>Adoption of Baby Doe</i> , 108 Cal. 483 P.2d 106, 271 Kan. 100 (Kan., 1982)	April 5, 1982	92
1. The Indian Child Welfare Act of 1978, 25 U.S.C. § 1901 et seq. (Stats. 97 1978), was enacted by Congress to protect the best interests of Indian children, and to promote the stability and security of Indian tribes and families, by the establishment of minimum Federal standards for the removal of Indian children from their families and the placement of such children in foster or adoption homes which will reflect the unique values of Indian culture, and by providing for assistance to...			
9%	3. <i>Blackstone Nat. Bank and Trust Co. v. Brown</i> , 753 P.2d 1295, 12 Kan.App.2d 573 (Kan.App., 1988)	May 5, 1988	86
The district court concluded that (1) the pledge of the certificate of deposit in joint tenancy did not sever the joint tenancy; (2) [12 Kan.App.2d 574] did not have the duty to return the Bank that she did not intend to be pledged by Harry and was not obligated to contest the pledge ; and (3) at Harry's death, the certificate of deposit passed, by operation of law, to his three and one-half and security interest of the Hutchinson National...			
15%	4. <i>Brown v. United Methodist Homes For The Aged</i> , 815 P.2d 24, 240 Kan. 124 (Kan., 1991)	July 12, 1991	81
After about two weeks on the job, Brown was transferred to a full-time security position working Monday through Friday in the same shift of 4:00 a.m. to 12:00 a.m. He continued in this job until February 1988 when he suffered a heart attack while lifting trash bags at work, which was part of his duties as a security guard. About a week after his accident, Brown reported this to his supervisor, Ernest Thomas. Nearly a year later, on February 2, 1987, Brown for the first time filed for an accident...			
12%	5. <i>Deaton State Bank v. Hedrick</i> , 230 Kan. 484, 640 P.2d 1225 (Kan., 1982)	February 27, 1982	83
Proof of any verbal representation by the Bank about the availability of the safe loan (although somewhat self-serving) was minimal at best. The allegation in item (5) that representatives of the Bank failed to disclose they were concerned about the status of their loan to Tom King is insufficient to establish fraud or a violation of a fiduciary relationship when Hedrick knew the King agents were working in debt, principally to the Bank. Otherwise, the Bank would be concerned. Mr. Hedrick readily...			
17%	6. <i>Hosue v. State Bank of Burden</i> , 240 Kan. 382, 729 P.2d 1203 (Kan., 1986)	December 5, 1986	86
One of the facts in dispute was whether Mrs. Grady had closed her checking account in 1982 following her dispute with the Bank over further payment on her wrecked automobile, when the Bank refused to take and August 1982 was approximately ten days after Mrs. Grady's Security Administrator for credit to the Grady, the Bank modified her checking account, which was not necessary. Since 1982, the Bank has withdrawn 240 Kan. 382 carried a new balance since that time. The Bank contended...			
6%	7. <i>State v. Kansas State University</i> , 253 Kan. 567, 861 P.2d 768 (Kan., 1993)	September 22, 1993	73
In <i>State v. University</i> , pledge was turned when a by-lawed legal over cleaner was poured over his back and neck during a fraternity hazing activity. The pledge brought suit against the university and others. On appeal, the Supreme Court of Kansas considered whether the university student relationship imposed a duty upon the university "to make and enforce policies which might protect the student from harm occasioned by the acts of third parties who function under the auspices of the..."			
1%	8. <i>State ex rel. Anderson v. Egan</i> , 280 Kan. 652, 359 P.2d 527 (Kan., 1961)	March 5, 1967	71
An analogous situation exists under the laws governing the United Nations. By the provisions of the Charter of the United Nations, 59 Stat. 1045, Chapter 5, Article 23, Section 1, the security council is made up of eleven members of the United Nations. Of these eleven members, five members are permanent members of the security council. Then by the provisions of Chapter 5, Article 27, Section 3, decisions of the security council on all matters other than procedural shall be made by an...			
30%	9. <i>Bedford v. Bedder</i> , 198 P.2d 696, 212 Kan. 383 (Kan., 1957)	July 17, 1975	62
The United States Congress has seen fit to place the federal government in the role of insurer in order to afford members of the work force the protection and security of insurance against future disability. The fundamental purpose of the Social Security Act is to provide a form of insurance in every state of that work. Benefits paid out by a governmental insurer, under a policy of insurance for which the insured has paid premiums, are no more gratuitous than benefits paid out by a private insurance...			
7%	10. <i>Carter v. State</i> , 236 Kan. 551, 672 P.2d 27 (Kan., 1984)	January 5, 1984	60
In <i>Hosue v. State</i> , Dept. of Health, 240 Kan. 411, 624 P.2d 404 (1986), the parents and legal guardian of an Illinois minor who was kidnapped and killed in Illinois by an assassin from the maximum security division of an Illinois hospital which he had been serving a twenty-one year term through a negligence action against the State of Indiana and its Department of Health and Department of Corrections. The trial court entered an interlocutory order of dismissal. The Indiana Court...			
3%	11. <i>Boobalov v. Gleason</i> , 233 Kan. 479, 644 P.2d 1327 (Kan., 1983)	June 10, 1983	57



Advanced Caselaw Search

Search Type: ☒ Keyword Search (Boolean) ☐ Natural Language ☐ Citation Lookup

security or pledge and assignment

☒ Show Search Tips

Example (click to run a search)

Relevance	Example	Result
AND, &	security AND assignment	cases with both the words "security" and "assignment"
OR	security OR assignment	cases with either the word "security" or "assignment"
NOT	security NOT assignment	cases with the words "security" and "assignment" but not "management"
+	security OR assignment AND management	cases with either "security" or "assignment", and also the word "management"
-	security NOT assignment	cases containing the word "security" but not the word "management"
*	security AND assignment	cases in which the word "security" appears within the words of the word "management"
~	security	wildcard - cases containing variations of the stem, such as "terminal", "terminal", "terminal"

Selected Jurisdictions

Recently Searched Jurisdictions

Search Options

Date

Start Date: Before 1981

End Date: April 2011

Results

Maximum results: 1,000

Results per page: 20

Sort by: Last Generated


Authority Check

☐ Show Number of Citations in Search Results

☒ Show Number of Citations in Entire Database

© 1999-2011 Fastcase, Inc. Privacy Policy Terms of Service Rules of Coverage

Getting Started | Do Your Homework




Legal Principles


- ✓ Fourth Amendment
- ✓ Search and seizure
- ✓ Expectation of privacy

Additional Search Terms

- ✓ Garbage, trash
- ✓ Curb, curbside



Getting Started | Do Your Homework




Legal Principles


- ✓ Fourth Amendment = "Fourth Amendment"
- ✓ Search and seizure = search
- ✓ Expectation of privacy = "expectation of privacy"

Additional Search Terms

- ✓ Garbage, trash = (garbage or trash)
- ✓ Curb, curbside = curb*



Getting Started | Do Your Homework




• "Fourth Amendment" and

• "Expectation of Privacy" and

• Search and

• (garbage or trash) and

• Curb*



4/14/11

[illegible]

Last Ten Searches



Quick Caselaw Search

☒ All Jurisdictions

Start a New Search

Advanced Caselaw Search

Search Statutes

Search Regulations

Search Constitutions

Search Court Rules

Search Attorney General Opinions

Search Memoranda

Search Federal Filings

Search Legal Forms

Last 10 Searches

"North american" and (garbage or trash) and search and carys"

"North american" and (garbage or trash) and search and carys"

"North american" and "expectation of privacy" and (garbage or trash) and search and carys"

"North american" and "expectation of privacy" and (garbage or trash) and search and carys"

"North american" and "expectation of privacy" and (garbage or trash) and search and carys"

"North american" and "expectation of privacy" and (garbage or trash) and search and carys"

"North american" and "expectation of privacy" and (garbage or trash) and search and carys"

"North american" and "expectation of privacy" and (garbage or trash) and search and carys"

"North american" and "expectation of privacy" and (garbage or trash) and search and carys"

"North american" and "expectation of privacy" and (garbage or trash) and search and carys"

Help Options

Faqs

User Guide

Tutorials

Live Chat

E-mail Support

Resources

Featured Web Ring

National Library Week

This week, April 10-16, celebrates National Library Week and we'd like to take a moment to recognize Law Libraries in particular. The American Association of Law Libraries is celebrating this week in a couple of ways. Back in February, AALL started another round of their "Days in the Life of the Law Library" photo contest and (

[find out more >>](#)

© 1999-2011 Westlaw, Inc.

[Privacy Policy](#)
[Terms of Service](#)
[Sitemap](#)

Last 10 Searches

"fourth amendment" and (garbage or trash) and search and curb"

"fourth amendment"and (garbage or trash) and search and curb"

"fourth amendment" and "expectation of privacy" and (garbage or trash) and search and curb"

"fourth amendment" and "expectation of privacy" and (garbage or trash) and search and (curb or curbside)"

"fourth amendment" and "expectation of privacy" and (garbage or trash) and search and curb

"fourth amendment" and "expectation of privacy" and (garbage or trash) and search

"fourth amendment" and "expectation of privacy" and garbage and search

"fourth amendment" and "expectation of privacy" and garbage

"fourth amendment" and "expectation of privacy"

"felony murder"

Forecite



Advanced Caselaw Search

Search Type: ☒ Keyword Search (Boolean) ☐ Natural Language ☐ Citation Lookup

Show Search Tips

Boolean	Example (click to see a search)	Result
AND	chemical waste AND management	cases with both the words "chemical" and "management"
OR	chemical waste OR management	cases with either the words "chemical" or "management"
NOT	chemical waste NOT management	cases with the words "chemical" and "management" but not "management"
AND NOT	chemical waste AND NOT management	cases with either "chemical" or "management", and also the word "management"
NEAR	"felony murder" NEAR 500	cases containing the word phrase "felony murder" within 500 words of the word "felony murder"
WITHIN	felony murder WITHIN 500	cases containing the word phrase "felony murder" within 500 words of the word "felony murder"
W/IN	felony murder W/IN 500	cases containing variations of the words, such as "felonious", "feloniously", "feloniously"
AND NOT	felony murder AND NOT management	cases containing variations of the words, such as "felonious", "feloniously", "feloniously"

Select Jurisdictions

Recently Searched Jurisdictions

☒ All Jurisdictions

☐ All Federal Appellate

☐ All State

☐ All District Courts

☐ All Bankruptcy Courts

☐ Individual Jurisdictions...

☐ All

☐ IL

☐ Fed. 1st Cir., C.D. Ill., N.D. Ill., S.D. Ill., Ill.

☐ Fed. 9th Cir.

Search Options

Date

Start Date:

End Date:

Results

Maximum results:

Results per page:

Sort by:

☒ Authority Check

☐ Show Number of Citations in Search Results

☒ Show Number of Citations in Entire Database

© 1999-2011 Fastcase, Inc. [Privacy Policy](#) [Terms of Service](#) [Scope of Coverage](#)

22


Results		Case Summaries			
Jurisdiction		1 to 26 of 1,005 results			
Jurisdiction		Point List of Results			
Reference		Case			
Decision Date		Decision Date			
View Results		View Results			
Forensic (beta) Fastcase has identified 3 additional decisions that may be relevant to your research topic, but do not contain one or more of your search terms.					
100%	1	State v. Hendrickson, 391 N.W.2d 724 (Iowa, App., 1996)	August 31, 1998	6	
Hendrickson argues that because he was in custody when the officers initially questioned him about the location of the gun and he did not receive a Miranda warning before being questioned, the district court erred by denying his motion to suppress the statements he made before receiving a Miranda warning . 1 See State v. Provost, 490 N.W.2d 93, 96 (Iowa 1992) (statements made during custodial interrogation inadmissible unless the suspect is given the Miranda warning and intelligently waives...					
89%	2	State v. Coleman, 519 N.W.2d 879, 227 Neb. 751 (Neb., 1998)	March 4, 1998	0	
Coleman v. Spring, ___ U.S. ___, 137 S.Ct. 881, 13 L.Ed.2d 854 (1997), involved admissibility of a defendant's statement made after the Miranda warning . Spring and a companion shot and killed a Donald Walker in Colorado. An informant told federal agents that Spring was involved in the interstate transportation of stolen firearms and had discussed participation in the Colorado killing. After Spring's arrest and receipt of the Miranda warning , federal agents questioned Spring about the...					
87%	3	People v. Amato, 8041485 (Cal. App., 3/27/2008) (Cal. App., 2008)	March 27, 2008	0	
Many of the issues defendant addressed during the pre- Miranda warning interrogation were discussed again during the post- Miranda warning interrogation or testified to by witnesses at trial. First, during the pre- Miranda warning interrogation, defendant discussed his whereabouts on the day of the shooting. Defendant also addressed where he had been during the day of the crime after he was arrested. In his Miranda rights. Second, pre- Miranda , defendant described a previous incident involving...					
95%	4	Eagan v. Duckworth, 845 F.2d 1354 (CA-7, 10th Cir., 1988)	May 24, 1988	20	
After researching and reviewing our colleagues' decisions, it is clear that defendant's purely semantic and hyper-technical challenges to the sufficiency of a particular Miranda warning have been consistently rejected by the Fifth, Second, Fourth, Eighth, Tenth and Eleventh Circuits. In particular, the Lamy, Neasime, and Wright courts all unanimously held that Miranda warnings , identical in all relevant aspects to those given Eagan, were constitutionally sufficient. On the other hand,...					
65%	5	State v. Scott, 394 N.W.2d 412 (Iowa., 1998)	August 27, 1998	108	
Scott was arrested on August 9, 1996. At the time of his arrest, Scott was a juvenile although he was only 15 days from his 18th birthday. The police brought Scott to the police station and began to interrogate him about the multiple drive-by shootings. A videotape and audiotape were made of the entire 9-hour period during which the police questioned Scott. Scott was not given a Miranda warning at the time of his arrest, nor during the first 15 minutes of the interrogation. Prior to...					
61%	6	Lucus v. Commonwealth, 354, App., 2003	September 26, 2003	6	
Defense counsel made a motion to suppress the charges of abuse of the nephew due to the officer's failure to give Lucus a second Miranda warning . Defense counsel asserts that the two charges were dissimilar, and as a new warning was required. The Commonwealth claims that Lucus was not in custody during other interrogation, therefore no Miranda warning was...					
61%	7	Westcott v. Shaw, 272 S.W.2d 813 (Tex. Civ. App., 1988)	December 17, 2008	18	
Justice Kennedy's concurring opinion asserted that Justice Souter's plurality opinion "cuts too broadly" by applying "an objective inquiry from the perspective of the suspect" to "both intentional and unintentional two-stage interrogations." See Scharf, 842 U.S. at 823-22, 124 S.Ct. 2051 (Kennedy, J., concurring in the judgment). Justice Kennedy stated that he "would apply a narrower test applicable only in the off-record case, such as we have here, in which the...					
61%	8	State v. Bailey, 677 N.W.2d 380 (Neb., 2004)	March 18, 2004	50	
Following Carter, we held that when a suspect is apprehended under coercive circumstances, it is subject to lengthy custodial interrogation before being given a Miranda warning . does not have the benefit of a significant paper in the interrogation after the Miranda warning is given, and essentially repeats the same incriminatory statements after the Miranda warning is before, the statements made after the Miranda warning are...					
58%	9	State v. Burnett, 697 N.W.2d 578 (Neb., 2005)	May 19, 2005	61	
The Miranda warning was not given until about 10 minutes after they began talking to him in the interrogation room. Although the majority does not find it necessary to consider this circumstance, I would conclude that it did not taint the interrogation. We have noticed a process where the investigator went to obtain incriminating statements before giving the Miranda warning , and then 10 to 15 more the suspect repeat those statements after giving the warning . Scott...					
56%	10	State v. Washburn, 854 S.W.2d 504 (Mo., App.W.D., 1993)	April 6, 1993	27	
The defendant continues his argument that a Miranda warning was required by the events in the ambulance and at the hospital. He contends that a Miranda warning was required in the ambulance because the trial court allowed the state to offer into evidence the statements made by the defendant in the ambulance following the police officer's question in order to avoid answering). Further, he argues a Miranda warning was required at the hospital when he made his first statement about the...					

Results		Case Summaries			
Jurisdiction		1 to 10 of 1,005 results		Point List of Results	
Reference		Case		Decision Date	
Forensic (beta) Fastcase has identified 3 additional decisions that may be relevant to your research topic, but do not contain one or more of your search terms.					
View Results					
The following decisions do not contain one or more of the search terms you entered. However, they are frequently cited by the other decisions in your search results and therefore, may be highly relevant to the topic you are researching.				Last updated 9/26/2024	
100%	1	Miranda v. State of Arizona, 394 U.S. 466 (1969)	June 13, 1966	74	31,637
89%	2	Oregon v. Elstad, 470 U.S. 298, 84 L.Ed.2d 222, 105 S.Ct. 1308 (1988)	March 4, 1985	23	1,018
87%	3	People v. Amato, 8041485 (Cal. App., 3/27/2008) (Cal. App., 2008)	March 27, 2008	0	3,179
95%	4	Eagan v. Duckworth, 845 F.2d 1354 (CA-7, 10th Cir., 1988)	May 24, 1988	20	
65%	5	State v. Scott, 394 N.W.2d 412 (Iowa., 1998)	August 27, 1998	108	
61%	6	Lucus v. Commonwealth, 354, App., 2003	September 26, 2003	6	
61%	7	Westcott v. Shaw, 272 S.W.2d 813 (Tex. Civ. App., 1988)	December 17, 2008	18	
61%	8	State v. Bailey, 677 N.W.2d 380 (Neb., 2004)	March 18, 2004	50	
Following Carter, we held that when a suspect is apprehended under coercive circumstances, it is subject to lengthy custodial interrogation before being given a Miranda warning . does not have the benefit of a significant paper in the interrogation after the Miranda warning is given, and essentially repeats the same incriminatory statements after the Miranda warning is before, the statements made after the Miranda warning are...					

Customer Support



Customer Support




CALL 1-866-773-2782	EMAIL support@fastcase.com	LIVE CHAT Help → Live Help
-------------------------------	--------------------------------------	--------------------------------------

Available 8 am - 8 pm Eastern, Monday through Friday
(excluding holidays)

Additional Training Resources:

www.fastcase.com [Help & Training](#) [Documentation & Downloads](#)





Smarter legal research.
